

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virguna 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/840,815	04/24/2001	Cornelis Oene Cirkel	NL 000229	5849
24737	7590 06.13.2003			

PHILIPS ELECTRONICS NORTH AMERICAN CORP 580 WHITE PLAINS RD TARRYTOWN, NY 10591

EXAMINER NGUYEN, VINH P

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER
2829

DATE MAILED: 06/13/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Application No. Applicant(s) 09/840,815 CIRKEL ET AL. Office Action Summary Art Unit Examiner VINH P NGUYEN 2829 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1)囚 Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 April 2003. 2a) This action is **FINAL**. 2b) This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. **Disposition of Claims** 4) $\square$ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-4 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. **Application Papers** 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on <u>04/09/03</u> is: a) ☐ approved b) ☒ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)

Attachment(s)

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

A		Page 2
Application/Control Number:		rage 2
09/840,815		
Art Unit: 2829	<del></del>	

1. Claims 1 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

It appears that the specification does not have support for the step of "measuring a first, second and third resistance value of a resistance between the first and second sense contact with resistance measuring device in the first, second and third state respectively" and the step of "computing a model resistance... respectively from the first, second and third resistance value" as recited in claim 1.

Furthermore, it appears that the limitation of claim 4 does not have support on page 3, first paragraph.

2. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claims 1-2, it is unclear what "main current channels" represent. Are they shown in any of drawings".

The dependent claims not specifically address share the same indefiniteness as they depend from rejected base claims.

Application/Control Number:	Page 3
09/840,815	_
Art Unit: 2829	

4

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Smith (Pat # 3,400,390).

As to claim 2, Smith discloses a signal converter for converting a binary code signal to an analog signal as shown in figure 2 having a first terminal " $(2^0)$ " (node connected to the collector of the transistors "30", a second node terminal " $(2^1)$ " (node of the transistors connected to the ground), a plurality of transistors (30-34) having main channels (bases of the transistors (30-34)) coupled in parallel between the first and second contact terminals ( $2^0$ ,  $2^1$ ). According to Smith, the transistors can be activated on or off by applying signals at the bases of the transistors through contact terminals ( $2^0$ ,  $2^1$ ). It is noted that Smith does not specifically mention about the tri-state for the first and second switching transistors, however, with the teaching of applying signals to the bases of the transistors through contact terminals would turn on or off the transistors, One could turn on the transistor (30) with a Hi bit signal (1), turn off the transistor (31) with a low bit signal (0) (first state), or turn off the first transistor (30) with a low bit signal (1) then turn on the second transistor(31) with a hi bit signal (1) (second state) or turn

Application/Control Number: Page 4
09/840,815
Art Unit: 2829

both transistors (30-31) on with hi bit signal applied to the bases of these transistors (third state). Therefore, it would have been old and well known for one of ordinary skill in the art to alternate the applied signals at the contact terminals of the transistors (30,31) such that both transistors would be in tri-state.

As to claim 3, it is noted that the fist state and the second state are considered in a test operating mode and the third state would be in a normal mode. It is noted that the term test mode and normal mode are broad terms therefore they have no specific meanings.

5. Applicant's arguments filed on04/09/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicants argued that the limitation of claim 4 has support on page 3,1<sup>st</sup> paragraph. Examiner disagrees with Applicants about this issue. On page 3, lines 1-3 recited "Figure 1 shows a circuit diagram of an electronic device, for example part of DC/DC converter or another circuit wherein the power supply of other circuits (not shown) has to flow between outputs 14,16 thorough the switch 12a,b". From that recitation, there is no basis for connecting a power supply to the terminal (14) as shown in proposed drawing of figure 1 and there is no support for the limitation of claim 4.

6. Proposed drawing correction for figure 1 has not been approved by Examiner as setforth in paragraph # 5.

Application/Control Number:	Page
09/840,815	
Art Unit: 2829	

7. It is noted that the specification does not complied with US guide lines

The following guidelines illustrate the preferred layout for the specification of a utility application. These guidelines are suggested for the applicant's use.

## Arrangement of the Specification

As provided in 37 CFR 1.77(b), the specification of a utility application should include the following sections in order. Each of the lettered items should appear in upper case, without underlining or bold type, as a section heading. If no text follows the section heading, the phrase "Not Applicable" should follow the section heading:

- (a) TITLE OF THE INVENTION.
- (b) CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS.
- (c) STATEMENT REGARDING FEDERALLY SPONSORED RESEARCH OR DEVELOPMENT.
- (d) INCORPORATION-BY-REFERENCE OF MATERIAL SUBMITTED ON A

  COMPACT DISC (See 37 CFR 1.52(e)(5) and MPEP 608.05. Computer program
  listings (37 CFR 1.96(c)), "Sequence Listings" (37 CFR 1.821(c)), and tables
  having more than 50 pages of text are permitted to be submitted on compact
  discs.) or

Application/Control Number:	Page 6
09/840,815	
Art Unit: 2829	

REFERENCE TO A "MICROFICHE APPENDIX" (See MPEP § 608.05(a). "Microfiche Appendices" were accepted by the Office until March 1, 2001.)

- (e) BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION.
  - (1) Field of the Invention.
  - (2) Description of Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.
- (f) BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION.
- (g) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE SEVERAL VIEWS OF THE DRAWING(S).
- (h) DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION.
- (i) CLAIM OR CLAIMS (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (j) ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (k) SEQUENCE LISTING (See MPEP § 2424 and 37 CFR 1.821-1.825. A "Sequence Listing" is required on paper if the application discloses a nucleotide or amino acid sequence as defined in 37 CFR 1.821(a) and if the required "Sequence Listing" is not submitted as an electronic document on compact disc).
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to VINH P. NGUYEN whose telephone number is (703) 305-4914.

Application/Control Number: 09/840,815	Page 7
Art Unit: 2829	

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4900.

VINH P. NGUYEN
PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT 2829
06/05/03